Access to Witney Engagement Report June 2021



June 2021

Contents

1	Introduction	1
	Project background	1
	Project overview	1
2	Overview of the online public engagement process	3
	Participation in online engagement	4
3	Summary of responses	5
	Feedback form results	5
	Key stakeholder responses	12
	Other feedback	13
4	OCC Response to Feedback	14
5	Summary and next steps	15
	Next steps	15

Tables

3
5
3
7
7
3
9
)
)
1
2

Appendices

Appendix A Copy of online feedback form



1 Introduction

Purpose of this report

1.1 This Report presents an interim analysis of the responses received to date on the online public engagement held between 10 May and 7 June 2021 (inclusive) on the Access to Witney proposals which ran in parallel with the A40 HIF2 Smart Corridor ('A40 HIF2') engagement exercise. Both schemes form part of the A40 Improvements programme.

Project background

- 1.2 The Access to Witney scheme seeks to improve access between Witney and the A40 as part of the Oxfordshire County Council Witney Transport Strategy within the Local Transport Plan 2031.
- 1.3 The Access to Witney scheme forms a key component of the wider A40 Improvement programme ('the Programme') a comprehensive package of transportation improvements to a 10.8km stretch of the A40 between Eynsham and Witney in Oxfordshire.
- 1.4 The improvement programme is considered necessary to mitigate the transport impact arising from the West Oxfordshire Local Plan housing development along the A40 corridor and encourage greater use of sustainable and active modes of transports for trips along the corridor.

Project overview

- 1.5 The Access to Witney project proposes improvements to the existing B4022/ A40 junction at Shores Green. The project forms part of the wider transport improvements along the A40 corridor between Witney and Oxford to make travelling better for people and businesses who rely on this route and to support jobs, growth and economic vitality.
- 1.6 The existing arrangement on the A40 only provides east-facing slip roads which allow traffic from northeast Witney to access the A40 towards Oxford, it is not possible to join the A40 and travel west towards Burford.
- 1.7 Oxfordshire County Council ('OCC') proposes to improve the junction through the delivery of west-facing slip roads which will enable traffic from northeast Witney to travel west on the A40 toward Burford without travelling through Bridge Street or the A40/Ducklington Lane junction in Witney.
- 1.8 The principal aims of the project are to:
 - reduce congestion and improve air quality in central Witney, including on Bridge Street and in the Air Quality Management Area
 - support the delivery of planned housing growth in Witney as set out the West Oxfordshire Local Plan 2031
 - improve access to the A40 from northeast Witney.



1.9 A full planning application with an accompanying Environmental Impact Assessment ('EIA') for the Project will be submitted to OCC, in its capacity as local planning authority in late 2021. The planning application will be accompanied by a Statement of Community Involvement documenting how OCC as the applicant has engaged meaningfully with a wide range of stakeholders and demonstrating how the application proposals have been directly influenced by feedback from stakeholder engagement.



2 Overview of the online public engagement process

- 2.1 The A40 Programme team undertook an online public engagement exercise for Access to Witney between 10 May and 7 June 2021 (inclusive) which ran in parallel with the A40 HIF2 Smart Corridor engagement exercise. Both schemes form part of the A40 Improvement programme.
- 2.2 Table 2.1 below summarises the key activities and publicity undertaken as part of the public engagement process to support the Access to Witney proposals.

Date	Activity
5 May 2021	OCC A40 Improvement webpage go-live date
10 May 2021	Online exhibition go-live date
10 May 2021	OCC consultation portal for submitting feedback go-live date
13 May 2021	Online public engagement publicised in 'YourOxfordshire' resident's newsletter
14 May 2021	Paper copies of exhibition boards delivered to four local libraries for public display (Eynsham, Burford, Carterton and Witney)
15 &19 May 2021	Outdoor advertising displayed in Kidlington, Witney and Cheltenham
18 May 2021	Live webinar event no. 1 (including Q&A)
22 May 2021	Live webinar event no. 2 (including Q&A)
27 May 2021	Decision to extend the deadline for comments to 7 June 2021
7 June 2021	OCC consultation portal for submitting feedback closes

Table 2.1 Online public engagement timeline

- 2.3 The project team established a new 'A40 Improvements' webpage which provided an overview of the six A40 Improvement schemes and access to a dedicated Access to Witney webpage, virtual exhibition and frequently asked questions webpage.
- 2.4 The virtual exhibition provided the opportunity for participants to complete a feedback form online via the OCC consultation portal. A dedicated email address was also set up to provide the opportunity for comments and questions to be submitted to the project team.
- 2.5 The project team also held two live online webinar events hosted via Microsoft Teams to give participants the opportunity to ask questions to members of the team directly. This aimed to recreate as far as possible a traditional 'in-person' public exhibition while complying with the Government's Covid-19 guidelines.
- 2.6 The following measures were put in place to ensure that participants without access to the internet or experienced issues with accessing materials online had the opportunity to view and comment on the proposals:
 - The public engagement was advertised in print ('Oxford Mail')
 - The advertisement included a telephone number to request printed copies of the online exhibition boards and feedback form.



- A Word version of the online feedback form was emailed out to stakeholders on request for completion offline.
- A PDF copy of the online exhibition boards was emailed out to stakeholders on request to print the information at home.

Participation in online engagement

2.7 Key statistics on participation in the online public engagement are summarised below:

- At least 6,321 visitors to the A40 Improvements webpages. Just under 5,000 of these visitors were unique users.
- On average, visitors spent over two minutes on the A40 Improvements webpages, which indicates that visitors engaged with the content.
- Visitors viewed two or more webpages per session on average, which again indicates that visitors engaged with the content.
- At least 175 individuals clicked through to the Access to Witney virtual consultation portal.
- 39 feedback form responses via the virtual consultation portal.
- 15 email responses.
- 18 sign-ups/attendees of live webinar events.
- 2.8 It is important to note that the actual number of visits to the A40 Improvement webpages and the online exhibition is likely to be significantly higher than the reported results. This is because the figures recorded by Google Analytics only represent those visitors who accepted cookies on entering the site; typically, only 10-20% of visitors accept cookies.
- 2.9 The social media activity records indicate that the actual number of visitors to the A40 Improvements webpages was higher than the Google Analytics data suggests:
 - Facebook adverts generated 10,000 clicks throughs to the A40 Improvements landing webpage.
 - Facebook adverts were viewed by at least 100,000 users and adverts were targeted to areas that use the A40.
 - The Next Door post generated just under 6,700 'impressions'. Next Door is a local social channel that allows posts to be targeted at the local level (street/parish level).
 - YourOxfordshire messages generated 807 click throughs to the A40 Improvements landing webpage.
 - Email notification about the online exhibition generated 50 clicks throughs to the A40 Improvements landing webpage.



A40 Access to Witney

3 Summary of responses

- 3.1 Responses on the proposals were received via two channels:
 - 39 feedback form responses submitted via the consultation exhibition.
 - 15 queries and responses submitted via email.
- 3.2 A copy of the feedback form is enclosed at Appendix A.

Feedback form results

3.3 The feedback form contained five questions which asked participants for their views on specific elements of the Project (Qus. 7-11). A qualitative and quantitative summary of the responses received to the five 'project-specific' questions is provided below.

Q7. What is your view on our preferred design layout to install west-facing slip roads at the A40/B4022 Shores Green Junction with signalised junctions?

Summary of Responses

- There is majority support for preferred design layout from respondents (54%) including from Cllr Duncan Enright and an unnamed Town Councillor for Witney.
- The most popular recurring themes for comment were that improvements were already overdue at the site location (mentioned in 6/34 comments) and stating support for the principle of removing traffic from the town centre (mentioned in 5/34 comments).
- For those voicing concerns, the most recurring themes were:
 - The preference for a roundabout led highway design (mentioned in 5/34 comments) as they felt a signalised junction would lead to more traffic jams (mentioned in 5/34 comments); and
 - Concern for road safety was mentioned in 4 out of 34 comments. However, a similar number of commenters felt a junction would provide a safer layout in comparison to a roundabout lead arrangement (3/34).

	Number	%
Strong Support	8	21%
Support	13	33%
Neutral	4	10%
Minor Concerns	6	15%
Significant concerns	7	18%
Don't know	1	3%
Total	39	100%

Table 3.1 Q7 Response Overview

	Support	Concerned
Support split	54%	33%
Number of commenters	34	87%



Table 3.2 Q7 Recurring Themes

Theme	Mentions	Rank
Delivery of improvements to Shores Green Junction overdue.	6	1
Supports principal removal of traffic from town centre	5	2
Prefers inclusion of a roundabout	5	3
Believe traffic light junctions will lead to traffic	5	4
Concern for road safety	4	5
Layout provides improved safety compared to a roundabout	3	6
No Comment - Support	3	7
Supports increased access to Witney/A40	2	8
Efficient design	2	9
Impact on High Cogges	2	10
No Comment - Neutral	2	11
Requests further details on establishing initial options for testing.	1	12
Questions whether there is pedestrian demand within the area.	1	13
Concerned about traffic backing up on slip road	1	14
Suggests priority junction at end of slip road	1	15
Concerns in regard to carbon emissions	1	16
Concern that proposals conflict with South Leigh Neighbourhood Plan Policies	1	17
Concern that A40 traffic will prevent access from slip roads	1	18
Loss of agricultural land	1	19
Wants a proposal which affects Carterton	1	20
Denies there is a problem at present.	1	21
Concern South Leigh will become a "rat run"	1	22

<u>Q8. What is your view on the alternative design layout options, which include</u> roundabouts instead of signalised junctions?

Summary of Responses

- There were mixed responses with no clear majority due to a high proportion of neutral opinions (7/39).
- For those who commented, there was a strong support for a proposal to include a roundabout (mentioned in 16/30 comments). There is no definitive support for a single alternative proposal, although Cllr Duncan Enright questioned whether there could be amends to option 17 which could make it more effective for managing traffic as it otherwise performed strongly as an improvement option.
- Some commenters were concerned that a roundabout lead layout is less safe than an alternative (mentioned in 5/30 comments).
- A limited number stated that proposals may have a greater impact on High Cogges and South Leigh (mentioned 1/30 respectively), this is reflective of the low participation rate from these areas.

	Number	%
Strong Support	11	28%
Support	8	21%
Neutral	7	18%
Minor Concerns	6	15%
Significant concerns	6	15%
Don't know	1	3%
Total	39	100%

Table 3.3 Q8 Response Overview

	Support	Concerned
Support split	49%	31%

Table 3.4 Q8 Recurring Themes

Subject	Mentions	Rank
Prefers inclusion of a roundabout as a design principle	16	1
Roundabouts are less safe than alternatives	5	2
No comment - neutral	5	3
No comment - support	3	4
Roundabouts may cause issues with heavy traffic	2	5
Requests further details of costs associated with each option	1	6



Subject	Mentions	Rank
Questions assumption that road safety is better in preferred layout.	1	7
Prefers inclusion of a roundabout at the north side of the A40 and traffic lights to the south side.	1	8
Roundabouts detract from pedestrian environment	1	9
Roundabouts take up too much space.	1	10
Suggests cycle lanes to improve safety at roundabouts	1	11
Unnecessary	1	12
May increase road safety	1	13
Concerns in regard to carbon emissions	1	14
Worse traffic associated environmental impact in High Cogges	1	15
Believes OCC own the necessary land for the delivery of a roundabout	1	16
Prejudices active travel	1	17
Roundabouts are more sustainable	1	18
Housing development will lead to gridlock at roundabouts	1	19
Concern South Leigh will become a "rat run"	1	20
No comment - against	1	21

Q9. Do you think our proposal to install west-facing slip roads at the A40/B4022 Shores Green Junction will help to reduce congestion and improve air quality in central Witney?

Summary of Responses

- The majority of respondees (23/39) agree that the proposals will help reduce congestion and improve air quality in central Witney.
- Of those who left comments, the main concern was that such improvements may be temporary as new housing development would bring new road users to the area (mentioned in 3/24 comments).

Table 3.5 Q9 Response Overview

	Number	%
Yes	23	59%
No	4	10%
Partially	5	13%
Not Sure	7	18%
Total	39	100%

Table 3.6 Q9 Recurring Themes

Subject	Mentions	Rank
Route avoids Bridge Street / Centre of town	14	1
No comment - Yes	9	2
New housing will cancel out improvements by increasing number of vehicles on the road	3	3
No comment - Not Sure	3	4
Not enough information has been given to answer this question	2	5
Less traffic queues	2	6
Will have no affect	2	7
No comment - Partially	2	8
Should be supplemented by speed limit changes and/or other control measures	1	9
Require second bridge	1	10
Unclear	1	11
Will cause issues elsewhere	1	12
Consideration should be given to electric vehicles	1	13
May lead to traffic if slip roads become subject to traffic congestion	1	14
Should be supplemented by improvements to public and active transport	1	15
No comment - No	1	16

Q10. Our proposal includes plans to introduce new footways and cycle paths. Do you think this will help more people to choose walking and cycling for local journeys in this area?

Summary of Responses

- There were mixed responses with a high number of neutral responses (17/39).
- Of those who agreed with the statement and commented, some felt it would be safer than the current arrangement (mentioned in 3/25 comments) and may make cycling in Witney itself more attractive (mentioned in 2/25 comments).
- Some commenters felt like the proposal would need to be supplemented by other schemes to have meaningful impact (mentioned in 2/25 comments) and that the specific area of the proposal would only attract a limited number of walkers and cyclists (mentioned in 4/25 comments).

Table 3.7 Q10 Response Overview

	Number	%
Yes	15	38%
No	7	18%
Partially	14	36%
Not Sure	3	8%
Total	39	100%

Table 3.8 Q10 Recurring Themes

Subject	Mentions	Rank
No comment - Yes	10	1
No improvement to existing levels of active travel	4	2
No need to walk/cycle in this location	4	3
Safer environment	3	4
May improve safety on main roads within Witney	2	5
Only for recreational walkers and cyclists	2	6
Needs to be supplemented by other cycling infrastructure	2	7
No comment - Partially	2	8
Depends on wait times and quality of crossings.	2	9
Food shopping journeys are not suitable for walking/cycling	2	10
Requires improvements elsewhere	2	11
No comment - No	2	13
Need to consider a cyclists as a priority	1	12
More work needs to be done to make cycling in Witney family friendly	1	14
Improvements could make cycling more family friendly	1	15
Insufficient information has been given to answer the question	1	16
Benefits will be more for those commuting to Eynsham and Oxford rather than local trips	1	17
People will still prefer to use their car due to convenience	1	18
Will link up existing bicycle routes	1	19
May encourage cyclists from South Leigh to Witney	1	20
Investment should focus on town centre improvements to active travel	1	21

<u>Q11. Overall, what is your view on our proposal to install west-facing slip roads</u> at the A40/B4022 Shores Green Junction?

Summary of Responses

- Overall, there is strong majority support for the proposal with 27/39 responses voicing either strong support or support.
- The most popular positive comments were those stating that improvements are overdue already (mentioned in 9/30 comments) and stating support for the principle of reducing traffic in the town centre (mentioned in 5/30 comments).
 - Of those who commented, 4/30 requested that further information is given to provide an opinion.
 - For those who did not support the proposals, the most common concerns were:
 - Proposals will be too expensive (mentioned in 2/30 comments)
 - Traffic lights at junctions will increase levels of traffic (mentioned in 2/30 comments)
 - The proposals may lead to increased car use by making road travel more efficient (mentioned in 2/30 comments)

Table 3.9 Q11 Response Overview

	Number	%
Strong Support	22	56%
Support	5	13%
Neutral	3	8%
Minor Concerns	2	5%
Significant concerns	5	13%
Don't know	2	5%
Total	39	100%

	Support	Concerned
Support Split	69%	18%

Table 3.10 Q11 Recurring Themes

Subject	Mentions	Rank
No comment - Support	10	1
Delivery of improvements to Shores Green Junction overdue.	9	2
Supports principal removal of traffic from town centre	5	3
Insufficient information has been given to answer the question	4	4
Proposals are expensive	2	5
Believe traffic light junctions will lead to traffic	2	6
May encourage car use and discourage active travel	2	7
Prefer an alternative	1	8
Avoids users using accommodation bridge as they do as present which is potentially dangerous	1	9
Should be the last improvement required for A40 access at Witney	1	10
Require further town centre improvements	1	11
Proposals are unsustainable	1	12
Will cause issues elsewhere on the road network	1	13
Concern for environmental impact on residents of High Cogges	1	14
Prefers inclusion of a roundabout	1	15
No comment - Neutral	1	16
Proposals will have no significant beneficial impact	1	17
Concern South Leigh will become a "rat run"	1	18

Key stakeholder responses

- 3.4 We received a number of responses from key stakeholders, which are summaries below:
 - West Oxfordshire District Council The Council strongly supports the principle of the proposal and the timely progress being made by the County Council in taking the project forward. Having reviewed the preferred scheme, the District Council is strongly supportive.
 - ii. South Leigh Parish Council Concerned that the scheme as proposed will: cause rat running through the village, lead to increased light, noise and emissions population; lead to an increased risk of flooding; and loss of biodiversity. SLPC has also highlighted that parishioners have raised concerns about pedestrian and

cycle access through the proposed Shores Green interchange towards Oxford Hill. Questions also raised during webinar and follow-up email setting out the questions.

iii. Cllr Duncan Enwright – Strong support for the scheme, however, considers that it is important that the proposed design does not increase traffic through South Leigh. Also questions whether Option 17 could be altered to ensure less queuing at roundabout.

Other feedback

- 3.5 Other responses can be briefly summarised as follows:
 - Support for an alternative option (17).
 - Concerns about increase traffic, including at Oxford Hill, Bridge Street the residents of Cogges and Madley Park estates will still drive into Witney.
 - Witney-Oxford train line should be given more consideration.
 - Concerns about the accessibility of online consultation.



4 OCC Response to Feedback

- 4.1 No changes to the scheme have been proposed in response to the feedback received during early stakeholder engagement and the recent public engagement.
- 4.2 The Project team has prepared a comprehensive response to the questions received about the proposals via the A40 project email inbox between 10 May and 7 July (inclusive). The FAQ's can be accessed via the following weblink: <u>https://www.oxfordshire.gov.uk/residents/roads-and-transport/roadworks/future-transport-projects/a40-improvements/a40-access-witney/about-access-witney</u>

5 Summary and next steps

- 5.1 The online engagement carried out by OCC between 10 May and 7 June (inclusive) provided the public with information about the Access to Witney and provided the opportunity to submit their comments and questions to the Project team via multiple channels.
- 5.2 Our records show that the A40 Improvements webpages were viewed at least 6,321 times, the online exhibition room was viewed at least 420 times and the two live webinar events were attended by 15 individuals collectively. Our records indicate that website visitors engaged positively with the content.
- 5.3 In total we received 39 feedback form responses and 15 questions and responses submitted via email.
- 5.4 The majority of respondents expressed support for OCC's preferred design layout to install west-facing slip roads at the A40/B4022 Shores Green Junction with signalised junctions. Whilst some respondents expressed a preference for a roundabout led highway design no definitive support for a single alternative proposal.
- 5.5 The majority of respondents agree that the proposals will help reduce congestion and improve air quality in central Witney.

Next steps

- 5.6 All comments and questions received during the public engagement process will continue to be reviewed as we refine the design and prepare the planning application documents.
- 5.7 We will continue to update the frequently answered questions in response to questions received to further clarify information about the project.
- 5.8 A full planning application will be submitted to OCC as local planning authority in late 2021 with an accompanying Statement of Community Involvement ('SCI'). The SCI will document how OCC as the applicant has engaged meaningfully with a wide range of stakeholders.





Appendix A Copy of online feedback form

We're improving the A40 between Witney and Oxford to deliver safer and more reliable travel options for those who rely on it for work, leisure and economic success.

We're investing in six major schemes, two of which have already progressed past the consultation phase (Eynsham Park and Ride and Oxford North) and more information is available about these on the <u>improving the A40</u> pages of the county council's website.

We now invite your views on four remaining schemes. In this consultation you can have your say on the **Access to Witney scheme**, which proposes the installation of west-facing slip roads at the A40/B4022 Shores Green Junction to help reduce traffic congestion, improve air quality and support delivery of planned housing growth in Witney.

The three remaining schemes: A40 Dual Carriageway Extension, A40 Integrated Bus Lanes and A40 Dukes Cut have their own consultation called 'A40 HIF2 Smart Corridor', which is running at the same time.. You can find it on the <u>county council's</u> <u>consultation portal</u>.

Please visit our <u>Access to Witney virtual exhibition</u> before filling in this feedback form. It may be helpful to keep it open in separate window so you can refer to the boards when giving your feedback.

A little about you

1

Please say whether you are responding as a:

You must provide an answer to this question.

- □ Individual living in Oxfordshire
- □ Individual travelling through this area
- □ Business
- □ Representative from a group or organisation
- □ Parish or town councillor or representative
- □ County councillor
- □ District councillor

 \Box Other (please specify)

.....

2

Please enter the first five (or four) digits of your postcode e.g. OX25 1 or OX1 5 (not the last two letters) so we can understand the impact on and views of residents and businesses in different locations.

.

3

Are you responding as:

 \Box Someone who lives in Witney

□ Someone who works in Witney

□ Someone who does not live or work in Witney but regularly travels to the area

□ Someone who regularly travels through Witney

 \Box Other (please specify)

.....

4

If you are responding on behalf of a business, organisation, or group, what is the name of it?

.....

5

If you are responding as a councillor, what is your name and which parish, town, ward or division do you represent?

.....

6

How do you mostly travel along the A40?

If you are representing a business, how do representatives from your business mostly travel along the A40?

□ Car driver

 \Box Car passenger

□ Bus

□ Cycle

□ Walking

🗆 Taxi

□ Motorbike or Moped

□ Van

Don't forget to email your completed feedback form to a40corridor@oxfordshire.gov.uk.

□ HGV

 \Box Do not travel along the A40

□ Other (please specify)

.....

Our proposals

You may wish to view the <u>virtual exhibition</u> again before answering the following questions.

The Access to Witney scheme, proposes the installation of west-facing slip roads at the A40/B4022 Shores Green Junction to help reduce traffic congestion, improve air quality and support delivery of planned housing growth in Witney.

- We have shortlisted three design layouts as shown on **board 6 of the virtual exhibition**.
- Two of the design layout options propose roundabouts connecting the A40 slip roads to the B4022 Shores Green Junction, whereas the other proposes signalised junctions.
- Our preferred design layout option is to install west-facing slip roads at the A40/B4022 Shores Green Junction with signalised junctions.

The design

The next two questions ask for your views on the design for the west-facing slip roads at the A40/B4022 Shores Green Junction.

7

What is your view on our preferred design layout to install west-facing slip roads at the A40/B4022 Shores Green Junction with signalised junctions?

You must provide an answer to this question.

□ Strongly support

- □ Support
- □ Neutral
- □ Minor concerns
- □ Significant concerns
- □ Don't know

Please let us know the reason for your response.

.....

8

What is your view on the alternative design layout options, which include roundabouts instead of signalised junctions?

Please note we are looking for your views on the principle of having roundabouts instead of signalised junctions as opposed to the detail of the roundabout design.

You must provide an answer to this question.

- □ Strongly support
- Support
- □ Neutral
- □ Minor concerns
- □ Significant concerns
- □ Don't know

Please let us know the reason for your response.

The impact

The next three questions ask for your views on the possible impact of the west-facing slip roads at the A40/B4022 Shores Green Junction.

9

Do you think our proposal to install west-facing slip roads at the A40/B4022 Shores Green Junction will help to reduce congestion and improve air quality in central Witney?

You must provide an answer to this question.

□ Yes

🗆 No

□ Partially

□ Not sure

Please let us know the reason for your response.

.

10

Our proposal includes plans to introduce new footways and cycle paths. Do you think this will help more people to choose walking and cycling for local journeys in this area?

You must provide an answer to this question.

□ Yes

🗆 No

□ Partially

□ Not sure

Please let us know the reason for your response.

.

Overall view

11

Overall, what is your view on our proposal to install west-facing slip roads at the A40/B4022 Shores Green Junction?

You must provide an answer to this question.

□ Strongly support

□ Support

□ Neutral

- □ Minor concerns
- □ Significant concerns

 \Box Don't know

Please let us know the reason for your response.

.

Further comments

Please use this section to provide any further comments you may wish to make about the A40 Smart Corridor project as set out in the virtual exhibition. We have provided headers for you to put your comments under and you can select as many as you wish. If you cannot find a suitable header(s), then please use other.

12

I would like to make further comments on:

- □ Design
- 🗆 Noise
- □Biodiversity
- □ Air quality
- □ Landscape
- □ Lighting

 \Box Construction

□ Community engagement

□ Other

□ I do not wish to make any further comments (Skip to question 22)

13

Please write you comments here about the design.

.

14

I would like to make further comments on noise:

.....

15

I would like to make further comments on biodiversity:

.

16

I would like to make comments on air quality:

.

17

I would like make comments on the landscape:

.

18

I would like to make further comments on lighting:

.

19

I would like to make further comments on the construction:

.

20

I would like to make further comments on community engagement:

.....

21

I would like to make comments on something else:

This must be related to the content of the virtual exhibition.

.

About you

We would like to know more about you so that we can understand more about our customers and residents. It helps us to know if we are hearing the views of a wide range of people and communities.

If you do not want to provide any of this information, please select prefer not to say.

All information given is anonymous and is governed by the <u>General Data Protection</u> <u>Regulations 2018</u>.

22

How did you find out about this consultation?

- □ Facebook
- □ Twitter
- □ Instagram
- 🗆 LinkedIn
- \Box Next door
- □ Oxfordshire.gov.uk website
- □ Email from Oxfordshire County Council
- \Box Local news item (newspaper, online, radio, tv)
- □ Radio advert
- □ Oxfordshire county councillor
- \Box District councillor
- \Box Parish or town councillor
- \Box Local community news item
- □ Poster/information in local library

Don't forget to email your completed feedback form to a40corridor@oxfordshire.gov.uk.

□ Local community group / organisation □ Friend / relative

□ Other (please specify)

.....

23

Are you ...?

Female

□ Male

□ I use another term (please state below)

□ Prefer not to say

If you use another term (please enter)

.

24

What is your age?

□ Under 16

□ 16-24

□ 25-34

□ 35-44

□ 45-54

□ 55-64

 \Box 65 and over

□ Prefer not to say

25

What is your ethnic group?

□ Asian or Asian British (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi or any other Asian background)

□ Black or Black British (Caribbean, African, or any other Black background)

□ Chinese

 \Box Mixed or multiple ethnic groups (White and Black Caribbean, White and Black African, White and Asian and any other mixed background)

□ White (British, Irish, Scottish or any other white background)

 \Box Prefer not to say

□ Other ethnic group

If other, please specify

.

26

Do you have any physical or mental health conditions or illnesses which reduce your ability to carry out day-to-day activities?

☐ Yes - a lot
☐ Yes - a little
☐ Not at all
☐ Prefer not to say

27

If you have answered 'yes' please can you tell us what your physical or mental health conditions or illnesses relate to?

 \Box Long-standing illness or health condition eg. cancer, HIV, diabetes, chronic heart disease

□ Mental health difficulty eg. depression, schizophrenia or anxiety disorder

□ Physical impairment or mobility issue eg. difficulty using your arms or legs or using a wheelchair or crutches

 \Box Social or communication impairment eg. a speech and language impairment or autism spectrum disorder

 $\hfill\square$ Blind or a visual impairment uncorrected by glasses

 $\hfill\square$ Deaf or a hearing impairment uncorrected by hearing aids

 $\hfill\square$ An impairment, health condition or learning difference that is not listed above

□ Prefer not to say

Please enter any additional comments

.

Data protection and privacy

Under the <u>Data Protection Act 2018</u>, we (Oxfordshire County Council) have a legal duty to protect any personal information we collect from you. Oxfordshire County Council is committed to open government and this may include quoting extracts from your consultation response in our report.

We will <u>not</u> however, disclose the names of people who have responded unless they have provided consent. For this purpose we ask that you are careful not to disclose personal information in your comments – for example the names of service users or

children. If you do not want all or part of your response to be made public or shared with councillors, please state below which parts you wish us to keep confidential.

View Oxfordshire County Council's privacy notice online at <u>www.oxfordshire.gov.uk</u> - search privacy notice.

We have appointed Stantec to support the consultation process on this project. They will process the consultation responses to input into the consultation report.

28

Please use this space to tell us if there is any part of your response you wish to keep confidential:

.

29

Keeping in touch

Thank you for taking the time to answer these questions. Finally, we would like to keep you in touch with news and consultations from Oxfordshire County Council.

By saying yes, you are giving your consent for Oxfordshire County Council to hold your contact details for the purpose of sending you a link to how you can keep in touch with news and consultations from Oxfordshire County Council.

We promise:

- to hold your information securely and not pass it onto anyone else without your permission
- to only use your contact details for the purposes above

You have the right to withdraw your consent at any time by writing to <u>a40corridor@oxfordshire.gov.uk</u> or by writing to: Access to Witney consultation, FREEPOST OXFORDSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL (No further address details required).

□ Yes

□ No

30

Yes, I consent for Oxfordshire County Council to hold my personal details and to email me a link to a web page where I can sign up to receive news from the county council on topics I am interested in and/or about consultations.

I confirm that I have read the statement above describing how my data will be used and I understand how to withdraw my consent.

Don't forget to email your completed feedback form to a40corridor@oxfordshire.gov.uk.

Please enter your email address in the box below:

.

Thank you

Thank you for taking the time to complete this feedback form

To remind you that A40 HIF2 Smart Corridor has its own consultation. You can find it on the 'current consultations' page of the county council's website.