

**APPENDIX 2 TABLE 3: PRIMARY SCHOOLS TO SUPPORT SPATIAL OPTIONS FOR OXFORD UNMET NEED**

**Notes:**

1. For this high level assessment a pupil generation rate of 25 children per 100 dwellings has been applied. A more detailed analysis would be required to support a Local Plan allocation or assessment of a planning application.

2. COSTS: Price based to 3Q15. Costs relate to low carbon schools and include FF&E, ICT provision, fees and school startup costs. It is assumed that land is provided at no charge to the Education authority

School provision within Bicester include zero carbon provision in costs in line with eco-Bicester strategy

- a) A 210 place 1FE school is costed at £6.4M
- b) A 315 place 1.5FE school is costed at £8.2M
- c) A 420 place 2FE school is costed at £9.2M
- d) A 830 place 3FE school is costed at £13.1M

Options are RAG rated:

**GREEN** Financially viable sized schools and assumed capable of full funding from development

**AMBER** May be of insufficient size to support a financially viable school but could do so when combined with other local growth or option could support a school but size is potentially not financially viable

**RED** Of insufficient size to support a financially viable school

District	Spatial Option	Dwelling Nos	Pupil Nos	On-site School(s) Required Yes/No	On-site School(s) Size	2016-21	2022-31	Post 2031	Cost £M	Funding Sources	Delivery Partners	Off-site Provision	Viability issues	Delivery Issues	Notes
CHERWELL	Shilton-on-Cherwell Quarry	1305	327	Yes	2FE		X		£9.2	£106	OCC	No	Borderline: Could be a little tight on pupils for a 2FE school (420 capacity) but would depend on housing mix etc.		
	Land North of Oxford	2603	651	Yes - 2 schools	2FE		X		£9.2	£106	OCC	No	One school may only need to be 1.5FE, but site should be secured for 2FE to protect against future need for expansion.	Needs to be considered along side existing growth plans e.g. Northern Gateway. Could affect decision whether to expand Wolvercote.	
					2FE		X	X	£9.2	£106					
	Land SE of Woodstock	1385	347	Yes	2FE	X			£9.2	£106	OCC	No		Could be second site for existing primary school, or primary extension of secondary school, or new school. As such, smaller scale development also viable – preferably at least 700 homes but there could be options for other sites.	
	Land at Begbroke	1794	449	Yes	2FE		X		£9.2	£106	OCC	No		At least 2FE on these numbers	Needs to be considered in conjunction with the Yarnton options, and possibly also Kidlington
	East of Yarnton	839	210	Yes	1FE		X		£6.4	£106	OCC	Possible option of West Yarnton or Begbroke	In isolation would only justify a 1 form entry primary school, but government preference is for 2 form entry schools for financial viability	2FE site would be needed to protect against future need for expansion.	If both Yarnton developments come forward, one 2FE school between them would be better. Could also be considered in conjunction with Begbroke site.
	West of Yarnton	839	210	Yes	1FE		X		£6.4	£106	OCC	Possible option of East Yarnton or Begbroke	In isolation would only justify a 1 form entry primary school, but government preference is for 2 form entry schools for financial viability	2FE site would be needed to protect against future need for expansion.	If both Yarnton developments come forward, one 2FE school between them would be better. Could also be considered in conjunction with Begbroke site.
SE of Kidlington	884	221	Yes	1FE				£6.4	£106 plus possible capital receipt	OCC	No	In isolation would only justify a 1 form entry primary school, but government preference is for 2 form entry schools for financial viability	2FE site would be needed to protect against future need for expansion.	Would explore relocation of an existing Kidlington school, to achieve a better geographical spread, but financial viability may preclude this solution.	
OXFORD	Enhanced Growth Scenario	1882 - 2212	471 - 553	Yes, within Oxford	Equivalent to 5FE or 6FE	X	X		£9.2 + £9.2 to £13.1 + £13.1	CIL			Reliance on contributions from numerous small sites could result in funding shortfall	Sites to be identified	Existing primary schools have virtually no potential additional capacity. Unlikely that sites under this scenario could include primary schools, but any large enough should be considered. Additional primary capacity would be needed. The new Barton school could be 2FE (currently only planned as 1.5FE). Also could require new schools on sites allocated for potential school use in the Oxford Local Plan eg. Bertie Place. One or more secondary schools may be interested in having a primary school on their site. Free School(s) option – interest has been indicated by Free School sponsors, but suitable sites are a challenge.
	Oxford Golf Club	1407	352	Yes	2FE	X			£9.2	£106?	OCC	No			Could be larger than 2FE to support other housing growth.
	Horspath Rd site	674	169				X			CIL			Housing number does not support a whole primary school.		Although this housing number does not really support a whole primary school, combined with a general uplift in housing numbers, a new school on one of the smaller named sites would provide for both it and wider pressures. However, this is quite an isolated site – difficult to see how a school here could serve wider pressures, or be sustainable. Nearest existing school is Horspath CE Primary, admission number 20, capacity 140 and full, which could benefit from being expanded, but which has an undersized site.
	Land North of Old Headington	559	140			X	X			CIL		Possibly new Barton school	Housing number does not support a whole primary school.		Existing pressure in this area. Although this housing number does not support a whole primary school, combined with a general uplift in housing numbers, a new school on one of the smaller named sites would provide for both it and wider pressures. Probably most likely option is that this site could be related to expansion of the planned new Barton school.
	Oxford Science Park, Littlemore	343	86			X	X			CIL		Possibly John Henry Newman School	Housing number does not support a whole primary school.		Although this housing number does not support a whole primary school, combined with a general uplift in housing numbers, a new school on one of the smaller named sites would provide for both it and wider pressures. However, this is quite an isolated site – difficult to see how a school here could serve wider pressures, or be sustainable. Consider with SE of Grenoble Road option. Nearest existing school is the 2FE John Henry Newman Academy, which has a good sized site and may be able to expand.
	Oxford Business Park	350	88			X	X			CIL		Yes - but no obvious site	Housing number does not support a whole primary school.		Given small parcels of land, an on-site school doesn't seem possible as well as housing, but it could be considered whether a parcel is used for a school instead of housing, to then support housing growth more widely. Nearest schools are full and over-subscribed, without much potential to expand.
SOUTH OXON	Berinsfield	4836	1209	Yes - 3 schools	2FE	X	X		£9.2	£106	OCC	No		3 x 2FE primary schools with area of 2.22ha for two schools and 3.1ha for the other, to protect its ability to be 3FE if necessary. (Potential of existing school to expand could also be considered)	
					2FE		X		£9.2						
					2FE		X		£9.2						
	Culham	4809	1203	Yes - 3 schools	2FE	X	X		£9.2	£106	OCC	No		3 x 2FE primary schools with area of 2.22ha for two schools and 3.1ha for the other, to protect its ability to be 3FE if necessary. Could consider whether existing Culham or Clifton Hampden schools (both v small) could relocate.	
					2FE		X		£9.2	£106					
					2FE		X		£9.2	£106					
	SE of Grenoble Road	7394	1849	Yes - 5 schools	2FE	X	X		£9.2	£106	OCC	No		Up to 5 x 2FE primary schools – should be considered in conjunction with Science Park site	
					2FE		X		£9.2	£106					
					2FE		X	X	£9.2	£106					
					2FE		X	X	£9.2	£106					

	Wheatley-Holton	878	220	Yes	1FE		X		£6.4	£106		To be explored	Likely to justify a 1 form entry primary school, but government preference is for 2 form entry schools for financial viability	2FE site needed to protect against future need for expansion.	Would look at potential of existing Wheatley primary school to expand, but it is already 2FE.
	M40 Junction 7	10800	2700	Yes - 6 to 7 schools	2FE	X	X		£9.2	£106	OCC	No			6-7 x 2FE primary schools. If 6, then at least one should have the potential to expand to three form entry
					2FE		X		£9.2						
					2FE		X	X	£9.2						
					2FE		X		£9.2						
					2FE		X		£9.2						
	Wick Farm	6325	1582	Yes - 4 schools	2FE		X		£9.2	£106	OCC	No			Also consider in conjunction with existing Barton development and new primary school, as well as Shotover - see below.
					2FE		X		£9.2						
					2FE		X		£9.2						
					2FE		X		£9.2						
	Shotover - Land at Thornhill	774	194	Desirable - 1FE or larger (see notes)			X		£6.4	£106, CL from City sites	OCC	No	Housing number does not support a whole primary school		Although this housing number does not really support a whole primary school, combined with a general uplift in housing numbers, a new school on one of the smaller city named sites would provide for both it and wider pressures. Risinghurst has no current school, and can be disadvantaged when other schools fill from closer pupils, so a new school here could be of added value. This would release pressure on Sandhills, which could help with Wick Farm.
<b>VALE</b>	Abington North	1251	313	Yes	2FE	X			£9.2	£106	OCC	No			Existing pressure on places
	Abington South	1456	364	Yes	2FE		X		£9.2	£106	OCC	No			Existing pressure on places
	Botley	956	239	Yes	1.5FE		X		£8.2			To be explored	2FE site needed to protect against future need for expansion		This may only require a 1.5FE school but there is pressure in existing schools which a 2FE school would help meet. Otherwise, expansion of the existing Cumnor Primary School could be considered, but access to the school site is constrained. Botley/Chawley/Cumnor sites to be considered in conjunction - if all 3 options come forward, 2 schools would be required.
	Chawley	975	244	Yes	1.5FE		X		£8.2			To be explored	2FE site needed to protect against future need for expansion		This may only require a 1.5FE school but there is pressure in existing schools which a 2FE school would help meet. Otherwise, expansion of the existing Cumnor Primary School could be considered, but access to the school site is constrained. Botley/Chawley/Cumnor sites to be considered in conjunction - if all 3 options come forward, 2 schools would be required.
	Cumnor	741	186	Yes	1FE		X		£6.4			To be explored	On its own it would only justify a 1 form entry primary school, but government preference is for 2 form entry schools for financial viability.	2FE site needed to protect against future need for expansion	This may only require a 1FE school but there is pressure in existing schools which a 2FE school would help meet. Otherwise, expansion of the existing Cumnor Primary School could be considered, but access to the school site is constrained. Botley/Chawley/Cumnor sites to be considered in conjunction - if all 3 options come forward, 2 schools would be required.
	Kennington	527	132	No			X				OCC	Yes	Not enough housing to make a new school viable	In isolation this option creates difficulties for education provision	Existing Kennington school may not be suitable for further expansion. Option is close to Radley, and if any development in Radley allows for the expansion of primary school capacity there, it could also provide a solution for Kennington. Otherwise, there is no obvious solution to significant development in Kennington
	Kingston Bagpuize	1248	312	Yes	2FE		X		£9.2	£106	OCC	No			Need to plan for a 2FE school but may only need 1.5FE. Already a lot of existing growth.
	Radley	2002	501	Yes	3FE	X			£13.1	£106	OCC	No			Up to a 3 form entry school (3.1ha site) or possibly 2 x 2FE schools, especially if it also needs to meet the Kennington growth. Potential relocation and expansion of the existing Radley primary school has been considered locally, which would mean 2 x 2FE schools including the replacement of the existing. Village school already under pressure.
					Alternative option of 2 x 2FE if includes Kennington	X			£9.2						
							X		£9.2						
	Wootton	1112	278	Yes	1.5FE		X		£8.2	£106	OCC	To be explored			Existing Wootton primary school on constrained site - could look at relocation; also close to Dry Sandford School which could expand.
	Appleford	1344	336	Yes	2FE		X		£9.2	£106	OCC	No			
<b>WEST OXON</b>	Land NE of Witney	1388	347	Yes	2FE	X			£9.2	£106	OCC	No			A new school here would be useful given existing pressures on Madley Brook and The Blake (Cogges), but would need to be delivered early in the development.
	Land West of Downs Road, Witney	978	245	Yes	2FE		X		£9.2	£106	OCC	Possible			New primary school on a 2.22ha site likely, although would also consider potential of school within new West Witney development area to expand, depending on timing and scale of growth.
	Land South of Witney	1050	263	Yes	1.5FE		X		£8.2	£106					School here could also provide for Curbridge, whose children currently attend Witney schools, and thus would release pressure in Witney.
	Land North of Eynsham	2220	555	Yes 1.x3FE or 1 x 2FE plus 1 x 1FE	3FE	X			£13.1	£106	OCC	No			A new primary school is needed for Eynsham. In isolation this site would generate the need for a 3FE school, or a 2FE plus a 1FE school. If other sites are allocated as well, schools may need to be larger. One school would need to be delivered early in the development
					Alternative option of 1 x 2FE plus 1 x 1FE	X			£9.2	£106	OCC	No	Government preference is for 2 form entry schools for financial viability. In isolation option only justifies a 1 FE second primary school - a larger, more viable school if combined with other sites		
							X		£6.4						
	Land West of Eynsham	741	186	Yes	1FE				£6.4	£106	OCC	No	Housing number would not normally trigger a school but could be funded from other Eynsham growth		Eynsham Primary School has already expanded to 2FE size and is unlikely to be able to expand further. A new primary school is needed for Eynsham. This scale of growth in isolation is small to trigger a new school, but inclusion of a site would enable existing pressure and current applications also to be met (a benefit). A school would probably be needed even if the Eynsham were to come forward - would need to review that based on housing numbers.
	Eynsham Park Estate	3435	859	Yes 2 x 2FE	2FE	X			£9.2	£106	OCC	No			
					2FE		X		£9.2						